



Regular Board Meeting
10/28/2021 05:00 PM
District Office Board Room
435 6th Street
Woodland, CA 95695

Meeting Minutes
Printed : 11/5/2021 10:23 AM PT

Mission Statement

Woodland Joint Unified School District's mission is to prepare and empower all students for a future of endless possibilities.



Scan the above QR code with your phone to view this meeting agenda on your phone.

The meeting will be available for live stream viewing at <https://livestream.com/wjUSD> (click on the Board Meeting date).

[2021 Board Meetings Webpage](#)
[Board Meeting Packet Website](#)

Public Comment to the Board: Members of the public are encouraged to attend Board meetings and to address the Board concerning any item on the agenda or within the Board's jurisdiction.

Additional options for public comment:

1. *Voicemail Public Comments:* Public comments may be submitted by voicemail by 2:00 pm on the Board Meeting date, at 530-406-5800, and they will be played during the meeting if time permits. Please indicate the item's number and title in your message.

2. *Written Public Comments Via Email:* Public comments may be submitted via email by 2:00 pm on the Board Meeting date to public.comment@wjUSD.org. Public comments submitted via email will be included in the meeting minutes, but will not be read during the meeting.

Attendees

Voting Members

Deborah Bautista Zavala, Clerk
Morgan Childers, Board Member
Bibiana Garcia, Board Member
Kandice Richardson Fowler, Board Member
Rogelio Villagrana, Vice President
Jake Whitaker, President

A. CALL TO ORDER IN OPEN SESSION - 5:00 P.M.

The Board President called the meeting to order in Open Session at 5:00 p.m. in the Board Room.

B. APPROVAL OF BOARD AGENDA - ACTION

Trustee Childers pulled the following item from the Consent Agenda for separate action: G.4.a.l. Approve Board Meeting Minutes: October 14, 2021 - Regular Board Meeting. Board President Whitaker extended Closed Session to resume Open Session at 6:15 p.m. and pulled the following item from the Consent Agenda for separate action: G.3.c. Approve Services Agreement for COVID-19 Testing with Covid Clinic. Motion was made to approve the agenda as modified at the meeting.

Motion made by: Jake Whitaker

Motion seconded by: Rogelio Villagrana

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

C. PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS ON CLOSED SESSION AGENDA (Please fill out the Public Participation Form): Members of the public are encouraged to attend Board meetings and to address the Board concerning any item on the Closed Session Agenda. Individual speakers shall be allowed three minutes each to address the Board on Closed Session Agenda items. The Board shall limit the total time for public input on each item to 20 minutes. This is a comment period only, and the law does not allow the Board of Trustees to take action on any item not explicitly posted on the agenda in advance. However, Board members may ask for clarification, briefly respond to questions, refer concerns to staff, and/or request that an item be placed on a future agenda.

The following individuals expressed their opposition to the potential expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School: Ron Oertel, Ron Poarch (Via Voicemail), Brian Coward (Via Voicemail). Jennifer Owens (Via Voicemail) also expressed her opposition to the potential expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School and additionally opposed the Services Agreement for COVID-19 Testing with Covid Clinic.

D. CLOSED SESSION AGENDA

The Board recessed at 5:14 p.m. and reconvened in Closed Session at 5:16 p.m. Closed Session ended at 7:02 p.m.

1. Public Employee Performance Evaluation, Pursuant to Government Code 54957: Interim Superintendent
2. Conference with Real Property Negotiators Regarding the potential purchase, sale, exchange, or lease of Real Property, Pursuant to Government Code 54956.8, for the following properties:
 - a. Property: Land Acquisition for Potential Expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School, Agency Negotiator: Elodia Ortega-Lampkin, Interim Superintendent and Lewis Wiley, Associate Superintendent, Business Services, Negotiating Parties: Woodland Joint Unified School District and the City of Woodland

3. Conference With Labor Negotiator, Leanee Medina Estrada: WEA, CSEA, and/or Unrepresented Employees, Pursuant to Govt. Code 54957.6
4. Public Employee: Discipline/Dismissal/Release, Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957
 - a. Resolution 27-22: Non-Reelection of Temporary/Long Term Substitute Certificated Employee

E. RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION - 6:00 P.M.

The Board President reconvened the meeting in Open Session at 7:04 p.m.

1. Pledge of Allegiance

The Board President led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Announcement of any action taken in Closed Session or items to be approved in Open Session that were reviewed in Closed Session

The Board President announced that the Board took action in Closed Session to approve Resolution 27-22: Non-Reelection of Temporary/Long Term Substitute Certificated Employee.

Motion made by: Rogelio Villagrana

Motion seconded by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

F. PUBLIC COMMENT FOR SECTIONS G. CONSENT AGENDA AND H. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Via voicemail, the following individuals opposed the Services Agreement for COVID-19 Testing with Covid Clinic: Laura Brubaker, Brian Coward, and Jenny Lillge.

G. CONSENT AGENDA

Motion was made to approve the Consent Agenda as modified at the meeting.

Motion made by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Motion seconded by: Rogelio Villagrana

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

1. Business Services

- a. Approve Purchases of Items/Services in Excess of \$15,000: List 7-22
 - b. Approve Disposal of Surplus/Obsolete Equipment and Furniture
2. Educational Services
- a. Approve Petition for Early Graduation as Follows: a. Student #EG 22-4
3. Human Resources

- a. Approve Certificated Personnel Report, 22-07
- b. Approve Classified Personnel Report, 22-07
- c. Approve Services Agreement for COVID-19 Testing with Covid Clinic

Motion was made to approve the Services Agreement for COVID-19 Testing with Covid Clinic.

Motion made by: Rogelio Villagrana

Motion seconded by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

4. Superintendent's Office

- a. Approve Board Meeting Minutes:
 - I. October 14, 2021 - Regular Board Meeting

Motion was made to approve the Board Meeting Minutes: October 14, 2021 - Regular Board Meeting.

Motion made by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Motion seconded by: Rogelio Villagrana

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Abstain

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

- b. Approve Resolution 21-22: Sikh Heritage Month – November 2021
- c. Approve Resolution 22-22: Native American Heritage Month – November 2021
- d. Approve Resolution 23-22: Adoption Month - November 2021

- e. Approve Resolution 24-22: California Retired Teachers Week – November 7-13, 2021

H. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

1. Presentation: Resolution 21-22: Sikh Heritage Month – November 2021

Trustee Deborah Bautista Zavala presented Resolution 21-22: Sikh Heritage Month to UC Davis Jakara Movement Chapter board members, Harsimran Kaur, Noblejot Singh, and Aareet Kaur.

2. Presentation: Resolution 22-22: Native American Heritage Month – November 2021

Trustee Bibiana Garcia presented Resolution 22-22: Native American Heritage Month.

3. Presentation: Resolution 23-22: Adoption Month - November 2021

Trustee Kandice Richardson Fowler presented Resolution 23-22: Adoption Month to Katelyn Woods.

4. Presentation: Resolution 24-22: California Retired Teachers Week – November 7-13, 2021

Trustee Jake Whitaker presented Resolution 24-22: California Retired Teachers Week to Gil Walker, President of the CalRTA Yolo County Division 83.

5. Information: Report on the 2021 Freshmen Leadership Academy

The Information item "Report on the 2021 Freshmen Leadership Academy" was presented in a Google Slides presentation by Monica Peña-Villegas, Regional Assistant Director of the UC Davis Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP), and Antonio Morales, Site Coordinator for the After School Safety and Enrichment Program (ASSETs).

6. Action: Approve Gifts to the District

Motion was made to approve Gifts to the District.

Motion made by: Jake Whitaker

Motion seconded by: Bibiana Garcia

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

- I. **PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE BOARD (Please fill out the Public Participation Form): Members of the public are encouraged to attend Board meetings and to address the Board concerning any item on the agenda or within the Board's jurisdiction. Individual speakers shall be allowed three minutes each to address the Board on agenda or non-agenda items. The Board shall limit the total time for public input on each item to 20 minutes. This is a comment period only, and the law does not allow the Board of Trustees to take action on any item not explicitly posted on the agenda in advance. However, Board members may ask for clarification, briefly respond to**

questions, refer concerns to staff, and/or request that an item be placed on a future agenda.

Kau Dewberry and Zach Kincaid expressed their opposition to COVID-19 vaccine mandates.

J. REPORTS

1. Report from Student Board Members

Woodland High School Student Board Member Meliani Robinson shared information about Woodland HS events.

Pioneer High School Student Board Member Bryan Fernandez Gonzalez shared information about Pioneer HS events.

2. Interim Superintendent's Report

Interim Superintendent Elodia Ortega-Lampkin shared district updates.

3. Report from California School Employees Association (CSEA)

CSEA President Todd Freer shared information regarding CSEA activities.

4. Report from Woodland Education Association (WEA)

WEA President Jennifer Drewek shared information regarding WEA activities.

5. Report from Board Members

Trustees Richardson Fowler, Bautista Zavala, and Villagrana shared information regarding activities that they have participated in since the last Regular Board Meeting.

6. Information: Board Committee Updates

There were no Board committee updates.

K. TEACHING AND LEARNING

1. Information/Action: Updated Comprehensive Safety Plans for 2021-2022 for Elementary School Sites

Public Comment:

Echoe Camacho and Kristen Hale expressed their opposition to COVID-19 vaccine mandates.

Motion was made to approve the Updated Comprehensive Safety Plans for 2021-2022 for Elementary School Sites.

Motion made by: Jake Whitaker

Motion seconded by: Bibiana Garcia

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

The Board recessed the meeting at 9:07 p.m. and resumed the meeting at 9:17 p.m.

L. FACILITIES AND FINANCE

1. Action: Approve Resolution 26-22 the creation of a Special Reserve Fund for Other than Capital Projects Fund (Fund 17)

Motion was made to approve Resolution 26-22 the creation of a Special Reserve Fund for Other than Capital Projects Fund (Fund 17) and to set the amount to 3% of the District's General Fund.

Motion made by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Motion seconded by: Deborah Bautista Zavala

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

2. Information: Average Daily Attendance (ADA) and Enrollment Report for the 2021-22 School Year (Written Report)

Public Comment:

Debbie Roe expressed her concerns with the District's declining enrollment.

The Information item "Average Daily Attendance (ADA) and Enrollment Report for the 2021-22 School Year" was presented as a written report.

3. Action: Approve AB1200 Disclosure of Cost Agreements with California Schools Employees Association (CSEA) - Woodland Chapter #118

Motion was made to approve the AB1200 Disclosure of Cost Agreements with California Schools Employees Association (CSEA) - Woodland Chapter #118.

Motion made by: Jake Whitaker

Motion seconded by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

4. Action: Approve Resolution 25-22 of the Board of Trustees of the Woodland Joint Unified School District Approving the Award of Lease-Leaseback Services Agreements to Landmark Construction for the Classroom Project at Spring Lake Elementary

Motion was made to approve Resolution 25-22 of the Board of Trustees of the Woodland Joint Unified School District Approving the Award of Lease-Leaseback Services Agreements to Landmark Construction for the Classroom Project at Spring Lake Elementary.

Motion made by: Kandice Richardson Fowler

Motion seconded by: Morgan Childers

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

M. HUMAN RESOURCES

1. Action: Public Hearing and Approve the Initial Proposal to the Collective Bargaining Agreement Between the Woodland Joint Unified School District (WJUSD) and the Woodland Education Association (WEA) for the 2022-2025 Successor Agreement

The Board President opened a Public Hearing at 9:44 p.m. WEA President Jennifer Drewek thanked the District for their proposal and noted many similarities. She also stated that they look forward to having meaningful conversations at the table. The Public Hearing concluded at 9:45 p.m.

Motion was made to approve the Initial Proposal to the Collective Bargaining Agreement Between the Woodland Joint Unified School District (WJUSD) and the Woodland Education Association (WEA) for the 2022-2025 Successor Agreement.

Motion made by: Jake Whitaker

Motion seconded by: Morgan Childers

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - No

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

2. Action: Public Hearing and Approve/Ratify the Tentative Agreement to Settle 2020-2021 Reopener Negotiations and Salary and Benefit Negotiations for 2021-2022 Between California School Employees Association (CSEA) - Chapter #118 and Woodland Joint Unified School District (District)

The Board President opened a Public Hearing at 9:52 p.m. As no one came forward to speak on the subject, the Public Hearing concluded at 9:52 p.m.

Motion was made to approve/Ratify the Tentative Agreement to Settle 2020-2021 Reopener Negotiations and Salary and Benefit Negotiations for 2021-2022 Between California School Employees Association (CSEA) - Chapter #118 and Woodland Joint Unified School District (District).

Motion made by: Rogelio Villagrana

Motion seconded by: Morgan Childers

Voting:

Deborah Bautista Zavala - Yes

Morgan Childers - Yes

Bibiana Garcia - Yes

Kandice Richardson Fowler - Yes

Rogelio Villagrana - Yes

Jake Whitaker - Yes

Motion Passed

3. Information: Review New Job Description: a. Manager, Facilities Projects

The Information item "Review New Job Description: a. Manager, Facilities Projects" was presented by Assistant Superintendent of Human Resources Leanee Medina Estrada.

The Board recessed at 9:58 p.m. and reconvened in Closed Session at 10:00 p.m. Closed Session ended at 10:17 p.m. The Board President reconvened the meeting in Open Session at 10:18 p.m. and announced that no action was taken in Closed Session.

N. INFORMATION: TRUSTEE REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Trustee Deborah Bautista Zavala requested a future agenda item to consider contributing from one-time funds towards a fund for the Woodland HS Stadium.

O. INFORMATION: CLOSING COMMENTS

P. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT SCHEDULED REGULAR BOARD MEETING: November 10, 2021 - Closed Session at 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. for Open Session.

Q. ADJOURNMENT

The Board President adjourned the meeting at 10:20 p.m.

Public Comments Submitted Via Email

I am writing to tell you that I am against this acquisition
Our Gonzalez Park needs to remain 10 acres as agreed upon
The Spring Lake School was never meant to be expanded by the original design
Finish the school as planned and work on building another school in Spring Lake as was planned.
I do not understand how other school districts seem to be able to build schools to accommodate children in their neighborhoods.
The city needs to demand that builders pay at least 50% of the cost of building a school
Better planning by the city of Woodland needs to occur
Transparency to all Woodland citizens about where tax money is spent and why the WSUSD is not funded as it should be
Thank you for serving

Best
Toni Smith

Dear board,

I write to you again in strong opposition of the plan of "Land Acquisition for Potential Expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School" into Rick Gonzalez Jr. Park. As mentioned in previous emails and comments, I'm a resident of Spring Lake and father of three children that currently attend Spring

Lake Elementary. I'm very familiar with the school design and limitations since my children attend the school (some since it opened a couple of years ago).

The issue in question is simple. The expansion as proposed will only cause frustration to the school management and the community as the school infrastructure was not made to accommodate the increased student population this plan is proposing. The mornings and afternoons are already chaotic as parents bring or pick their children to school. The Woodland council had promised a second school and more parks for years. The board should be spending efforts in doing this as it was promised to their constituents. Moreover, taking over a park that is highly used by all community members is absolutely wrong. The council again, should focus efforts in completing all that was promised (parking, tennis courts), and improve in the maintenance of the park. Is pretty ridiculous that due to poor maintenance the soccer goals have been chained for months, and green field is now hazardous to walk and run. Please use the taxes we pay and complete all that was promised.

Again, my family and I are in strong opposition of the current plan and hope the board hear us.

Respectfully,
Esteban Soto Martin

I have been following the proposed Land Acquisition for Potential Expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School.

I am very concerned about the apparent lack of any overall planning for meeting the future infrastructure needs of K-12 youth, educators and parents in the Spring Lake area. The idea of just expanding Spring Lake Elementary with no overall plan for Spring Lake is a path to failure.

I am also very concerned that there has been no public input on this proposed acquisition.... All of this work must be put on hold until the district has developed a forward looking K-12 infrastructure plan for the youth in Spring Lake. This plan must provide public transparency to any action the district may be considering now and in the future.

Michael Brugh,

Dear Board Members,

My name is Ron Poarch. I am a lifelong Woodlander - and live just a few blocks from Rick Gonzalez Park.

I'm writing concerning Item 2A - the Potential Park Acquisition.

I have the great pleasure of running on track there each morning. It is unique in that this decomposed granite track allows me to run - the surface is very forgiving. It has been a part of my every day for a few years now. I've met so many people there during this time.

I would like to appeal to you - on behalf of the other regular runners and joggers - that you not adopt any plan that takes away that track. It is a perfect length (.44 miles). Not only that - the regular walkers at that park enjoy the current path and is part of our regular days.

What might seem like a slight alteration is actually something big to us. When I first got word that there may be changes, I was surprised with myself at how it impacted me. I thought, am I being selfish? Yet, I could not deny that this park has become an important part of the lives of many who have moved out here. I bring my granddaughter here just about every Saturday morning. With so many things going on in our world today - it has been nice to have the solace of this park each day. I want you to know this.

I don't want to go too long. Thank you for reading this and for your consideration. I just wanted to

voice my concern and appeal to you in this way. I would be willing to dialog further if you have interest in talking further.

Sincerely,
Ron Poarch

Hi my name is Tatiana Bonilla, I am a parent of students at SpringLake Elementary and I don't think that the expansion of Spring Lake Elementary is a good idea. Although I am truly aware that we need another school and a middle school in this area, especially for the amount of houses that has been sold in the past years and adding the new technology park which is going to bring hundreds of families to the area.

Spring Lake elementary was designed to accommodate 380 students, meaning that the play area, library, multipurpose room were design to this amount of people, an increment of 200 students will be prejudicial. Right now the current students don't even have a grass area to play, we have been waiting patiently for this for a long time and because this negotiations that you guys create at last minute the green area has been push down and on hold. Our students don't have a place to play soccer or any type of sport , the playground is really small, they cannot exercise inside the school , recess are divided by grades because they cannot have all at the same time outside.

Adding a classroom per grade will add 200 students, which won't fit on our current commons areas, they won't have the space to eat on a rainy or smoke day, and the new teachers won't fit on the Teacher lounge.

Besides that, the proposed plan is that the new school fields will be available to everyone in the afternoons. I am really concern that people at night can vandalized the school fields or even the school. Right now the soccer frames at Rick Gonzales Park are not been able to use because some teenagers that came at the park at night were moving it at putting them backwards or in some weird areas which can harm the residents so the city had to lock both frames and for last 2 months we haven't be able to use them, because they don't have a special lock that prevent them to move. Now imagine if those were property of the school and ours kids need them for PE or recess. Even a worse scenario the school right now is all gated which make it safer for our students and the property, kids sometime forget their Bicycles at school and it's not a big deal, they can play safely before school starts, but having people from outside will not warranty us as parents things will be the same I personally will not feel safe.

I think you should start planning on building a new elementary at the technology park which can accommodate the amount of kids that will eventually come here, because we are getting more houses, more families, more kids.

And last but not least we need desperately a new middle school here in Springlake. Douglas Middle school is already overflow with students and this is without adding all the kids the will come from Springlake Elementary. Our students will have to travel 2.4 miles which is not safe, crossing Gibson Rd, we need this now. Our first 6th graders are graduating this year is only one class but soon it will be two.

Thanks .
Tatiana Bonilla

To WJUSD Board:

I ask you (again) to stop attempting to take our neighborhood park. I've met many wonderful people who use that park (and its peripheral path) on a regular basis, and are opposed to your plan. Including a few who specifically come to the park from outside of the neighborhood due to its spacious/open feel, peripheral paths, and perceived personal safety compared to other locations in

Woodland.

The decomposed jogging path is like no other in Woodland, and it's already not a particularly large loop. Please don't shorten/compromise it.

And again, people with disabilities use it, as well. Some of them cannot travel easily to the other so-called "neighborhood" park, which actually consists of an active sports park (nowhere near the bulk of the neighborhood, and separated by a freeway). I do not know if the city also "rents" that space to sports teams.

As you know, I've already submitted a petition to you with over a hundred signatures opposed to your plan (as of October 19th). I have been continuing my efforts, and will be submitting more signatures to you in a separate batch.

In addition to your proposal to shorten the path and compromise the park site itself, is WJUSD prepared to take on the full cost of:

- Paying for EIRs (in regard to the partial destruction of the park, as well as your enrollment plans)?
- Destruction of the existing path, adjacent lighting, landscaping, irrigation, and drainage?
- Rebuild the path, lighting, landscaping, irrigation and drainage within the remaining compromised park space? Also, would the quality of the "replacement path" be as nice (e.g., wide, curving design, attractive lighting) as the one you intend to destroy?
- Pay for the landscaping, irrigation, drainage on the acreage that you're attempting to acquire?
- Secure the site in a manner that both allows public access (after-hours), but still keeps your primary campus secure? How would this be accomplished, given that your "acquired" land would be partially-blocked from view (from the street) by the city's landscaping (and presumably, by your new fencing) along Mickle and Somerset Circle?
- Pay for a full-court, adult-sized basketball court that has no value for your own schoolkids? Does the Department of Education (or any other agency involved) know about these plans to meet "playfield" requirements for your own schoolkids?
- The cost of constructing additional classrooms (above the amount planned within the past few years)?
- Modify common/shared areas to meet the needs of additional enrollment that you're now pursuing? Including spaces such as the library, the brand-new multi-purpose room, etc.?
- Pay for/address any traffic mitigations required as a part of the EIR process connected to your significant increase in enrollment plans?

Those are just a few of the items, off the top of my head. Perhaps if the district didn't waste more than \$800,000 on a plan that the district summarily discarded, along with hundreds of thousands of dollars in portables that shouldn't have been needed, you'd already have a campus that was designed to handle more students - without demanding that the neighborhood now pay for your mistakes.

Regardless of which entity pays for this (the city, or school district), all of this money (with the exception of any matching funds that the district receives) was derived from the neighborhood that you now intend to compromise as a result of your errors and miscalculations.

On a related note, anyone with an ounce of sense can see that you've abandoned any realistic plan to build a school at the technology park (or on your 20-acre "middle school" campus, either of which can accommodate a K-8). Let's hope that you come clean regarding all of the money that you now propose to spend to expand Spring Lake Elementary, which won't then be available to construct another campus. Which would still be needed, even if you expand Spring Lake Elementary!

Of course, the city also has a role in all of this, in that it appears that they don't want to landscape and maintain the park themselves, despite the "compromise deal" that they reached years ago with neighbors to create a 10-acre park, and despite having funds to do so now. It seems that the city is more interested in pursuing a city pool with Spring Lake money, which (perhaps not ironically) is (within/connected) to your 20-acre site.

As you know, I've asked a Grand Jury to look into all of this and have provided much more detail to them. I may be asking other agencies to review how this situation arose, as well.

Your district (and the city itself) have some serious explaining to do, regarding the reason that they're suddenly proposing to take over a city park (for a development that has been planned for 20 years)!

Please find another solution to the problems you've created.

Sincerely,
Ron Oertel

Good Evening Trustees,

I'm writing tonight to express my disappointment and frustration in WJUSD for the upcoming termination of our COVID testing contract with Healthy Yolo Together. It is of little surprise to me that HYT would pull out of providing testing services to WJUSD, as your respect for and use of the service that was gifted to you went unused. In many areas of this district's operations, I shake my head wondering why we can't look to our neighboring districts as models. DJUSD, for example. They welcomed HYT with open arms, campaigned to get most children parent approval for testing, and routinely bring their elementary students through the testing process weekly as a class. This takes approximately 10 minutes per class. TEN MINUTES A WEEK...that's it. I can list at least 10 things I hear about my kids doing during the school day that I'd rather they spend on COVID testing when they are, as of yet, unable to be vaccinated.

It's been proposed the HYT had an all or nothing mentality in regards to testing, and this is just not true. My gut tells me you welcomed them on campus initially to appease teachers and their unions, and not the student population at all. If you truly wanted to help keep students safely in class, you would have promoted this ahead of the school year and done your due diligence getting families signed up, and children through as a class weekly. I have seen nearly zero promotion of this program. And to think, in a district where I don't anticipate seeing adequate child vaccination rates, why on Earth cut ties with this program? You'd think we'd celebrate partnering with our neighboring university in every avenue possible!

Please reconsider this decision and start taking advantage of the program that was gifted to you.

Sincerely,
Amanda Linares

Dear President Whitaker and members of the WJUSD Board of Trustees -

I am writing as a concerned parent and community member.

Unfortunately, positive cases in Woodland appear to be driving another Covid wave in Yolo County. From where we are right now, it looks like it's going to get worse for Woodland children, their families and neighbors before it gets better.

To that end, I am reaching out with three requests to help protect our students and our community moving forward:

- 1) Please allow our students to test during class time. Dr. Sisson has communicated to this Board that the value of widespread testing outweighs losing a few instructional minutes each week for testing. In Davis, every elementary school class tests weekly as a class with their teacher. The students with permission test, the students who do not have permission stand by and wait for their classmates. The process takes around 10 minutes per class. I've been told West Sac and Esparto

will be starting the process shortly if they have not already.

For secondary, in Davis, students are excused during class at the teacher's discretion or they get in line before school or during passing periods. They're provided a time-stamped note if the line causes them to be late to class.

Woodland has permission for about 40% of the WJUSD population yet only about 10% is testing. This coordinated classroom testing at the elementary level and allowing secondary students to test during class would lead to an immediate increase in our testing.

According to one Woodland middle school teacher: "I would love to be able to excuse students to go test... kids don't go/they aren't taking advantage of it because lunch time and afterschool time is friend time and they don't want to miss that."

2) Please "pause" on signing an agreement with Covid Clinic, Inc. I know there is concern about a break in testing availability (more on the relationship with HYT in my third request). However, there are several issues of concern within the CC contract. Have any school board members talked to people in other districts about how CC is working for them? My questions include:

a) Will there be weekly asymptomatic testing available at all school sites (I didn't see this in the contract)?

b) How will it work for CC to get our health insurance information?

c) Will CC be contacting all of our health insurance providers for "blanket" permission? Or, will permission be needed for every test?

d) Will there be a delay in testing for students with no insurance or "unresponsive" health care providers?

e) What is the results turnaround time?

f) How will this change affect testing for teachers and staff?

I recognize the appeal of a testing contractor that will not charge the district. However, if student testing drops off because of concern over health insurance implications, the switch does not really serve our community.

3) Please revisit WJUSD's relationship with Healthy Yolo Together (HYT). I know HYT projected programming to stop at the end of 2021, but even having HYT testing through the end of the semester would help with our current crisis. I know many in WJUSD leadership have been advised that "HYT demanded that all students test." This simply is not true. We know this because Davis is not testing 100% of its students.

HYT personnel have advised me that they merely wanted WJUSD to make more of an effort to increase testing. I can't fault HYT for not wanting to pay for employees that are sitting around for hours because WJUSD won't let students test at convenient times.

I think the relationship between WJUSD and HYT can be saved, and I hope you will step in, get the facts, and help save this important relationship.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jenny Lillge

I wish to remind the board that any vaccine mandate for children will result in our withdrawal from your district and we will be recommending the same to other parents.

Vaccine Mandate = No \$\$\$ for WJUSD budget.

Thank you for your attention.

As a parent of a kindergartener at Tafoya Elementary, I have seen this school's atmosphere, parent communication and overall ability to run decline drastically since the principal was removed and sent to another school. I can see everyone upset, snappy, stressed and overwhelmed. The lack of communication in general is been really bad from teachers to admin. As a kinder parent, I had no idea about the awards, why they got them, no idea even what they were. We have no idea about how drop offs or pick ups work during incimate whether, and emergency drills notifications are sent the night before if that. I just hope my kid is doing well in class, but have no idea. The back to school nights should be later in the fall so we can actually see the progress of our kids and the classroom (whether virtually or otherwise). Nothing has been running great and given that Covid makes things difficult on everyone, I find it incredibly hard to understand why the board would think taking the principal away and reassigning her would be a good move considering.

Right now, our Principal was removed to service another school, our "acting Principal" has been out for 2 weeks and is continuing to be out, our "acting Vice Principal" has been out for 2 days and there is a skeleton crew of an Administration. THIS IS UNSAFE! Yesterday, 2 directors had to stop their work to cover for Admin and today 4 directors were needed. On top of it, we have a Kindergarten teacher that will be out for 2 weeks and instead of finding a substitute, they have split the class into the other Kinder classes and have made the class number of students increased to 33!!!! This is against the California Department of Education's Handbook and California Law. not to mention my daughter isn't getting the education she needs to be ready for 1st grade!

One final note. The notification about mandated childhood vaccines for all k-12 without even FDA approval let alone emergency authorization is abhorrent. Mandates for a vaccine without FDA approval (regardless of the emergency authorization) is despicable and takes away the rights of parents and pediatricians to decide what's best for each individual child. If this mandate threat goes through, I will remove my child from Tafoya and will homeschool. This mandate goes against the California Department Education's Handbook.

With all this considered (crumbling school infrastructure, Unsafe environment for young children, teachers overwhelmed and not able to give my daughter a proper education, and vaccine mandates), My daughter's Education doesn't seem to be a priority at all. I will not have my daughter's progress stifled by incompetence and will remove her from Woodland Unified to be homeschooled.

-Leah Mellinger,

I would still like to hear and see the plan for unmasking our children? You bait and switched us parents with no end in sight? You seem to be concerned with attendance numbers, If you don't start address when masks will be removed and if vaccines will be forced you will lose more and more enrolled students. Yolo county doesn't have an outdoor mask policy, yet you force students to play outdoors while masked! You need to make a plan and address how and when we will look at removing masks. You based your decision to mask our children off science, now let's look at the science to remove them!

Please address this,
Amy

Good evening ladies and gentleman, members of the board, my name is Zach Kincaid, a concerned parent and a nearly lifelong resident of Woodland. My children are descendants of multiple generations of residents of the city and Yolo county.

The quality and safety of our children's education is of utmost importance to all of us here. By enrolling our children into the public school system, we rely on educators and administration to provide that quality. We also expect the board to guide the schools in the best way possible to

foster a safe environment for that learning experience.

I am not here to argue about what a historic advancement in medicine we've seen in the creation of the first mRNA vaccine. My issue has nothing to do with its efficacy, or the extreme reduction in severe symptoms for the most vulnerable populations.

I don't need to argue about the fact that it only prevents severe symptoms and does not prevent contraction or transmission. I don't care that other vaccines have been required in the past, or feel the need to point out what a disingenuous position that is, or point out that flu shots are not required. I don't feel it's my place to recite statistics about vaccine injury, or make any argument against anyone making the decision to use any of these available products.

I shouldn't need to quote the medical professionals at the FDA approval of the emergency use, that were all easily summed up as "not enough data on myocarditis or other long term risk."

I am here to point out how lucky we all are that our children, the most cherished members of our families, have been relatively safe from covid. Even when they have contracted the virus they have remained relatively untouched.

I also feel that it is the parents and guardians who ultimately have the power to make a decision on what medical procedure they feel is best for those children. No school board, county health officer, or governor has the responsibility, let alone the right to make it for them.

I am also here to encourage the board to recognize that it is the right and responsibility of parents and guardians to make those decisions. These are our children, these are our choices to make.

My purpose in coming tonight, is not to fight with the board, only to inform you that we will no longer be participating in this charade and will move forward with our lives, and to encourage the board to disregard the Governor's mandate and to speak out against it and help protect a parent's ability to raise their own children.

Attempting to hide behind the tyrannical edicts of a misguided and dishonest executive, to shirk your responsibilities as a governing body, and claim that you were merely following orders while forcing a medical procedure, was not an acceptable defense in 1946, and is certainly not a valid excuse now.

There are many parents in this community who have students that really enjoy their experiences on campus, who are relying on you to do the right thing, and allow our children to stay enrolled in your schools and maintain the positive relationships they have developed during their time in the district.

We, the parents of WJUSD students, urge the board to take a stand with us against this madness. Your positions as elected officials are subject to change in the upcoming election. Our roles as parents will never be up for a vote. If changes regarding vaccine and mask mandates are not implemented, many of us will be pulling our children and beginning a new chapter in their education.

I am not here to debate or ask questions. I am here to help you understand that we aren't seeking your approval, and we won't be complying.

C. Zachari Kincaid

Dear Interim Superintendent Ortega-Lampkin, President Whitaker, and Board members,
My name is Brian Coward. I'm a Woodland resident writing in regard to item 'D.2.a - Property: Land Acquisition for Potential Expansion of Spring Lake Elementary School'. I'm disappointed that the City and District are continuing to pursue, without having surveyed the community or done any public outreach whatsoever, the expansion of the campus. You have no idea what the Spring Lake Elementary community may want, expect, hope, or need and likewise I'm making a public comment without any information from you because you chose a path that excludes open dialogue. The District and Board are confronted with several costly obstacles in expanding the campus. It is clear that expansion of the campus will require additional land and that land has existing improvements. Purchasing land is not cheap and relocating existing park concrete/running paths, lighting, and trees at the park is wasteful. There may be ways for the City to absorb some of these costs but ultimately taxpayers will foot the bill. There are also challenges with the existing multipurpose room, student center, blacktop areas, security, and traffic safety that were not designed to accommodate more students. Expanding the Spring Lake Elementary campus is not the best use of our resources and will result in fewer students having access to neighborhood schools.

Remember we can negotiate with the Spring Lake Developers (Turn Of The Century, TOC) the release of the obligation for an alternate school site at the Tech Park. By shifting our Capital Facility Funds for Spring Lake (Fund 28) to the Tech Park elementary school we would have access to additional revenues from Spring Lake growth, State matching fund revenues from Spring Lake Elementary to build another school, and the Tech Park Developer would know we were serious about building a school there. This could easily be justified to the TOC developer as Spring Lake residents would attend the school, the location of the Tech Park Elementary school is within the master plan area of Spring Lake, and is immediately adjacent to the Spring Lake Specific Plan boundary.

I understand the District and Board's sense of urgency to address the waitlist of families in Spring Lake. The over-enrollment was a foreseeable issue and I imagine there's a desire to "save the day". But as we've learned with the construction of Spring Lake Elementary, reactionary decisions are extraordinarily costly, result in inadequate facilities, and are stressful for leaders and community members. The expansion would also likely take 4-5 years to accumulate the resources, design, and build. This timeline would easily be achievable in the Research and Technology Park Elementary school if we pursued it. Consider using the Tafoya Elementary or original Spring Lake Elementary campus designs for the Tech Park school to save money and provide classrooms for between 800 or 600 elementary-age students.

Woodland Research and Technology Park Elementary school - Financing (2020 Cooperative Strategies; Estimated School Facilities Costs (2020\$) - \$46,689,285 table 9)

Tech Park developer fees = \$-10,000,000 ((1600 TP units / 4000 SL units) * \$25,000,000 SL dev fee return to Developer at completion of tech Park)

Tech Park = \$2,500,000 (10 acre land acquisition at \$250,000/acre)

Spring Lake developer fees = \$10,000,000 (remainder after SLE is complete w/o expansion)

Tech Park CFD (mello roos) = \$35,000,000 (\$7,500,000 of State matching funds go to Developer)

Total = \$47,500,000

Respectfully,
Brian Coward

Board President

Board Clerk